# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN HARDNEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY FERGUSON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-02390-JD

PAUPERIS STATUS

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 that was dismissed at screening. Plaintiff filed an appeal with the Ninth Circuit and the case has been referred back to this Court for the limited purpose of determining whether plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should continue or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith.

An indigent party who cannot afford the expense of pursuing an appeal may file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24(a), "a party to a district-court action who desires to appeal in forma pauperis must file a motion in the district court." The party must attach an affidavit that (1) shows in detail "the party's inability to pay or give security for fees and costs," (2) "claims an entitlement to redress," and (3) "states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal." Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1). However, even if a party provides proof of indigence, "an appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). An appeal is in "good faith" where it seeks review of any issue that is "non-frivolous." *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002). An issue is "frivolous" if it has "no arguable basis in fact or law." See O'Loughlin v. Doe, 920 F.2d 614, 617 (9th Cir. 1990).

## United States District Court Northern District of California

Plaintiff alleged that he was improperly denied parole. He sought injunctive relief and money damages. Plaintiff was informed that to the extent he wished to challenge his parole denial or the disproportionality of his sentence, he must file a habeas petition. In order to recover damages for an allegedly unconstitutional conviction or imprisonment, or for other harm caused by actions whose unlawfulness would render a conviction or sentence invalid, a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 plaintiff must first prove that the conviction or sentence has been reversed on direct appeal, expunged by executive. Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to amend but failed to present a cognizable claim. Plaintiff's action has no arguable basis in fact or law; therefore, plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is **REVOKED**. The Clerk shall forward this Order to the Ninth Circuit in case No. 18-15688.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 3, 2018

JAMES DONATO United States District Judge

## 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 JOHN HARDNEY, Case No. 17-cv-02390-JD 5 Plaintiff, 6 v. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 7 JEFFREY FERGUSON, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. 11 District Court, Northern District of California. 12 13 That on May 3, 2018, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing 14 said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by 15 depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery 16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 17 18 John Hardney D-00599 19 Mule Creek State Prison P.O. Box 409020 20 Ione, CA 95640 21 22 Dated: May 3, 2018 23 24 Susan Y. Soong 25 26 27 28

Clerk, United States District Court CLARK, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable JAMES DONATO 3